# Optimizing the Transmission of Multimedia Content over Vehicular Networks

Pablo Piñol (contact author)

Universidad Miguel Hernández

Elche, Spain

Pablo Garrido Universidad Miguel Hernández Elche, Spain pgarrido@umh.es

Otoniel López Universidad Miguel Hernández Elche, Spain otoniel@umh.es pablop@umh.es Héctor Migallón Universidad Miguel Hernández

Elche, Spain hmigallon@umh.es Miguel Martínez-Rach Universidad Miguel Hernández Elche, Spain mmrach@umh.es

Manuel P. Malumbres Universidad Miguel Hernández Elche, Spain mels@umh.es

Type of submission: Short Paper Track: CSCI-RTMC

Abstract—The multi channel operation mechanism of the IEEE 1609.4 protocol, used in vehicular networks, triggers issues at the MAC layer when transmitting video over these networks. These issues are caused by the accumulation, at the beginning of a Service Channel time slot, of video packets which are scheduled to be transmitted during a Control Channel time slot. In this work a method named SkipCCH is proposed in order to make a better use of the wireless channel and avoid collisions and, therefore, entail an increase on the final reconstructed video quality. This method has proven to improve video streaming in scenarios both where QoS techniques are present or not. We have observed that also background traffic benefits from this method, mainly when QoS mechanisms are applied to the video stream.

Index Terms—MAC layer, Multimedia, Video, Wireless Networks, VANET

### I. INTRODUCTION

Video transmission over Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (VANETs) can be very useful but it is, at the same time, a challenging task. The applications of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) which may make use of video streaming in VANETs range from entertainment/consumer related applications (video conferencing, contextual advertising, tourist information, etc.) and road safety (assisted overtaking, blind spot removal, etc.), to applications which can be crucial for the life of the passangers inside a vehicle (automatic emergency video call - eVideoCall, etc.). As well as it can be very beneficial, it is a challenging task due to several factors such as the high bandwidth required for the video delivery, the continuously changing network topology (vehicles are continuously changing their position), and the wireless channel (sharing of channel, Doppler effect, signal shading, poor coverage, etc.).

In this work, video transmission over VANETs and its performance at the MAC (Medium Access Control) layer have

been studied. From the analysis of the observations we have proposed a mechanism which reduces packet loss. This method works better when the QoS (Quality of Service) feature of protocol IEEE 802.11p is used, which is a recommendation for protecting video packets in critical and road safety related ITS applications.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. First, in Section II, some works in the literature related to the analyzed issue are presented. Next, in Section III, the proposed mechanism is depicted. In Section IV, the setup of the simulation tools, vehicular network scenario, and video sequence used are explained. Some results of this ongoing research work are presented and discussed in Section V. Finally, in Section VI, conclusions are drawn and some future work is introduced.

## II. RELATED WORK

Analyzing the experiments data of our previous works [1], we detected that, when transmitting video packets, at the MAC layer there were higher packet losses just at the beginning of the Service Channel (SCH) time slot. After analyzing it, we could determine that the issue was due to the synchronization effect because of the channel hopping of the IEEE 1609.4 protocol. This happened because, the video packets which were scheduled to be transmitted during the Control Channel (CCH) time slot, accumulated at the beginning of the SCH time slot, and the bad effects of transmitting data in bursts arose. This issue has been studied in works like [2], but not for the specificity of video streaming (with the high bandwidth required and the intrinsic characteristics of compressed video data).

Solutions for this issue have been presented in works like [3] and [4]. In these works, the authors analyze this issue for the transmission of video data, and provide a solution by aligning this transmission to the SCH time slot, but they do not take into account the video frame rate. The way in which we address the solution to the issue takes into consideration that the frame rate of the video sequence has to be maintained, in order to keep a good Quality of Experience in the final reconstructed video

This research was supported by the Valencian Ministry of Innovation, Universities, Science and Digital Society under Grant GV/2021/152, and under Grant PID2021-123627OB-C55 funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033, and by "ERDF A way of making Europe".

sequence. Also they do not analyze the casuistry that appears when QoS is used (which is highly recommended when critical video data has to be transmitted).

Our work takes into account both the specificity of the data transmitted (video streams) and the cases where QoS is utilized.

## **III. PROPOSED METHOD**

In WAVE (Wireless Access in Vehicular Environment) protocol stack, used in VANETs, MAC layer is driven by IEEE 802.11p and IEEE 1609.4 protocols. The first one implements QoS by means of EDCA (Enhanced Distributed Channel Access), which allows the differentiation of four data packet types and the assignment of a different priority to each one of these four Access Categories (AC). These categories, ranging from the one with the highest priority to the one with the lowest priority, are the following: AC\_VO (voice), AC\_VI (video), AC\_BE (best effort), AC\_BK (background). The MAC layer handles one packet queue for each one of these categories. The use of the AC\_VI queue for the transmission of critical video data packets (used in road safety and emergency applications) has proven to be very useful in the protection of the video stream over against the rest of the network traffic.



Fig. 1. Multi channel operation at the MAC layer for the WAVE architecture (up), and packet scheduling for the default and proposed methods at the Application layer (down).

On the other hand, IEEE 1609.4 protocol is in charge of multi-channel operation. This mechanism works as follows. There is a Control Channel (CCH), where vehicles transmit safety messages and beacons with vehicle's information, and there are four Service Channels (SCH), where vehicles transmit the applications' data. Although the CCH and the SCHs use different frequency bands they do not transmit simultaneously. There is a time division and channel switching is performed. The CCH and the SCHs use alternatively time slots of 50 ms to carry out their communications. At the beginning of each time slot there is a guard interval (of 4 ms) which is used to guarantee that every device has completed the switching to the corresponding channel. This mechanism is represented in the upper part of Fig. 1 where the time division for CCH and SCHs is clearly depicted.

Lets now explain how the original method works and, after that, the new proposal will be depicted. Regarding video streaming, the transmission of bursts of data packets has a negative effect in the final reconstructed video. On the one hand, the packet loss rate usually increases and, also, due to the characteristics of compressed video data, the resilience against packet losses decreases, i.e., at a same packet loss ratio, isolated packet loss is less harmful than bursts packet loss. In order to avoid the negative consequences of transmitting data in bursts, the original method sends video data by distributing the available time among the network packets. To carry out this scheduling, the inter-packet time, which we name  $\Delta$ , is firstly computed by dividing the total time between the number of video packets of the sequence. If the time to begin sending the video is  $t_0$ , then the first packet will be scheduled in the application (APP) layer to be sent at that moment  $(t_1 = t_0)$ , and the following packets will be scheduled to be sent with an increase of  $\Delta$  ( $t_2 = t_1 + \Delta$ ,  $t_3 = t_2 + \Delta$ , ...). The graphical representation of this scheduling is shown in the lower part of Fig. 1 labeled as "Default ( $\Delta$ )". The implementation of this mode of operation is depicted in the flow chart of Fig. 2 (taking the branch labeled as "off" for the decision box with the question "SkipCCH?"). In this mode of operation, if a packet is scheduled in APP layer at a time  $t_i$  in which the SCHs are active (time slots 2, 4, 6, 8, ...) then it will be sent to the MAC layer and the MAC layer will send it through the network. But if the CCH is active (time slots 1, 3, 5, 7, etc.), then the packet will be sent to the MAC layer but it will remain in the corresponding SCH queue until the next SCH slot arrives. All the queued data packets will be sent as a burst at the beginnig of the next SCH slot, leading to a great number of collisions and, consequently, packet loss. This is what we concluded from the observation of the performance of the MAC layer.

To avoid this issue we have proposed a new method (named SkipCCH) for scheduling video packets at APP layer. The APP layer synchronizes with the SCHs in a way that video packets are only scheduled aligned with the SCHs time slots. Now, the inter-packet time will be  $\Delta/2$  so that all the video packets fit in SCH time slots. Also if the CCH is active, then the scheduling time will be increased by the size of one time slot (50 ms) so that the packet is scheduled within the next SCH time slot. This mode is graphically represented in the bottom part of Fig. 1 labeled as "Proposed ( $\Delta/2$ )" and its implementation is shown in Fig. 2 (taking the branch labeled as "on" for the decision box with the question "SkipCCH?").

#### **IV. SIMULATION FRAMEWORK**

For the evaluations we have used a setup similar to the one which we used in some of our previous works [1], by using the Video Delivery Simulation Framework over Vehicular Networks (VDSF-VN) [5], which was developed by us in order to ease the preparation of scenarios and the automation of the tests, as well as the generation of charts with the obtained results. This framework uses some public domain simulators which work together to simulate the vehicular



Fig. 2. Flow-chart for the original (SkipCCH=Off), and the proposed (SkipCCH=On) methods.

wireless network and the mobility of the vehicles. This thirdparty software consists of OMNeT++ v5.6.2 network simulator [6], together with the Veins (VEhicles In Network Simulation) v5.1 framework [7], and the SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObility) v1.8.0 mobility simulator [8].

For the scenario of the tests we have downloaded a real map of a 2000m  $\times$  2000m square area of Las Vegas (around Dean Martin Drive) by using OSM (Open Street Map) database [9] and converting all the map data to a format which can be handled by SUMO, OMNeT++ and Veins, with the help of our mentioned toolbox (VDSF-VN). This area is shown in Fig. 3. For the experiments we have placed an RSU (Road Side Unit) near the center of the scenario. This RSU is a fixed antena, part of the infrastructure, which is in charge of transmitting the video sequence. Also, in the scenario we have inserted 11 vehicles which will be driving near the RSU at a maximum speed of 14 m/s (50 km/h). One of them is the video client, the one which will received the video stream. The other 10 will be injecting background traffic at different rates to simulate real network conditions, ranging from ideal conditions (no background traffic) to conditions which lead to channel saturation. Each of the 10 vehicles injects packets with a payload of 512 bytes (4096 bits) at these different packets-per-second (pps) rates: 0 pps, 12 pps, 25 pps, 50 pps, 75 pps, and 100 pps. These pps rates provide a total background traffic of 0 Mbps, 0.49 Mbps, 1 Mbps, 2 Mbps, 3 Mbps, and 4 Mbps. The total time of the simulation is 200 s.

For the compression of the video sequence we used HEVC (High Efficiency Video Coding) reference software encoder HM v9.0 [10]. The selected video sequence is named "BasketBallDrill" and belongs to the collection of video sequences included in the Common Test Conditions of HEVC [11]. This sequence has a resolution of  $832 \times 480$  pixels, a rate of 25 fps (frames per second), and a length of 250 frames. The compression of the sequence has been carried out in All Intra (AI) mode, with a value of 31 for the Quantization Parameter (QP), what has yielded a resulting bitrate of 3.4 Mbps.



Fig. 3. Selected area of Las Vegas downloaded to create the scenario of the tests.

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, some results of the present ongoing research work will be presented and discussed. In a previous work [1], we studied video transmission over VANETs and presented the improvements achieved when QoS was applied to the video stream, both in the reduction of packet losses and in the final quality of the reconstructed video sequence. QoS is highly indicated for video streaming, especially for critical and road safety related ITS applications. In the present work we evaluate a new mechanism, which we have named SkipCCH, both in the presence and in the absence of QoS techniques. We have run our simulations for the six different background traffic rates and, both with the QoS activated and deactivated, and, of course, with the SkipCCH method on and off.

In Fig. 4, the number of times into backoff at the MAC layer is shown. This measures the number of times that the nodes invoke the contention window. We can see that both  $\circ$ and  $\triangle$  curves (SkipCCH=on/off; QoS=off) have values very similar between them for all the range of background traffic, and that the one with the SkipCCH method activated is slightly better. On the other hand, the two curves which represent the measurements when QoS is on  $(\times \text{ and } +)$  show a noticeable increase in the number of times into backoff. This result might lead us to think that, when we use QoS, there is a poorer utilisation of the channel and lots of packets remain in their queues waiting to be transmitted. But, in fact, as the contention windows used for the AC\_VI queue are narrower than the normal ones, the packets in those windows are waiting less total time, even if there is a higher number of times into backoff. This leads to an optimization of the access to the wireless channel.

In Fig. 5 and Table I, the ratio of the received video packets to the sent video packets is shown for the different background traffic rates previously enumerated. We can see that the experiments with SkipCCH on ( $\times$  and  $\Delta$ ) have always a better reception ratio than the experiments with SkipCCH off (+ and  $\circ$ , respectively). When QoS is used, which is the recommended case for the delivery of critical video streams, the difference between the SkipCCH and non-SkipCCH experiments is even higher, and the improvement is the range 4%-6% for all of the background traffic conditions. In this chart, in line with the results of our previous works, we can also appreciate the great advantage of using QoS, especially for medium to heavy background traffic loads.

At last, in Fig. 6 (the corresponding data is in Table II), we show an interesting chart. This chart shows how background traffic is affected by the different combinations of activating or not QoS and SkipCCH. As well as the protection of critical video packets, another objective is not to affect in excess the rest of the traffic of the network. On this matter, we can see that the experiments with the SkipCCH method activated always outperform their counterparts. By comparing the  $\circ$  (SkipCCH=off; QoS=off) and + (SkipCCH=off; QoS=on) curves, we can see that when the SkipCCH method is not used, the activation of QoS heavily penalizes the background reception ratio. But if we add the SkipCCH method to the QoS experiment (×), this penalization decreases in all the range and, especially, for low to medium background traffic loads, where the reception ratio increases in the range 3%-11%.

Therefore, from figures 5 and 6, we can come to the conclusion that applying the SkipCCH method to the video transmissions not only always improves the video packet reception ratios, but also reduces the negative impact on the rest of the network traffic.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, a method to improve the packet reception ratio of video streaming over VANETs has been proposed and evaluated. This method shows a good performance, moderating the issue of multi channel operation which appears at the



Fig. 4. Times into backoff at the MAC layer for different network conditions.



Fig. 5. Video traffic packet reception ratio for different network conditions.

TABLE I VIDEO TRAFFIC RECEPTION RATIO

VID Reception Ratio		Background Packets Per Second (pps)							
SkipCCH	QoS	0	12	25	50	75	100		
off	off	1.00	0.97	0.94	0.87	0.66	0.43		
on	off	1.00	0.98	0.97	0.90	0.67	0.42		
off	on	1.00	0.95	0.93	0.90	0.88	0.88		
on	on	1.00	0.99	0.97	0.96	0.92	0.92		



Fig. 6. Background traffic packet reception ratio for different network conditions.

TABLE II BACKGROUND TRAFFIC RECEPTION RATIO

BK Reception Ratio		Background Packets Per Second (pps)						
SkipCCH	QoS	12	25	50	75	100		
off	off	0.72	0.67	0.56	0.48	0.42		
on	off	0.78	0.70	0.56	0.49	0.42		
off	on	0.65	0.54	0.49	0.39	0.26		
on	on	0.75	0.65	0.52	0.40	0.27		

beginning of the SCH time slots, especially for video streams (which require a high bandwidth to be transmitted). The proposed mechanism skips the CCH time slot in the scheduling of the sending of video packets in the application layer and distributes this scheduling proportionally within the next SCH time slot, reducing the packet loss as a result. It has shown a good performance in all conditions, especially when it is combined with QoS. It has also shown a good performance in reducing the penalization that the QoS mechanism causes to the rest of the network traffic.

In order to extend and complete the present ongoing work, we will evaluate the performance of SkipCCH mechanism regarding the final reconstructed video quality of the transmitted sequence. Also, we plan to add two more HEVC encoding modes, LP (Low-delay P) and LB (Low-delay B), and adapt the QoS mechanism to the type of every single frame which is being transmitted. For these other two encoding, modes the value of  $\Delta$  will need to be fine tuned according to the resulting bitrate of each mode.

#### REFERENCES

[1] P. P. G. Abenza, M. P. Malumbres, P. P. Peral, and O. L. Granado, "Evaluating the use of qos for video delivery in

vehicular networks," in 29th International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks, ICCCN 2020, Honolulu, HI, USA, August 3-6, 2020. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–9. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCN49398.2020.9209735

- [2] D. Eckhoff, C. Sommer, and F. Dressler, "On the necessity of accurate ieee 802.11p models for ivc protocol simulation," in 2012 IEEE 75th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring), 2012, pp. 1–5.
- [3] G. Maia, C. G. Rezende, L. A. Villas, A. Boukerche, A. C. Viana, A. L. L. de Aquino, and A. A. F. Loureiro, "Traffic aware video dissemination over vehicular ad hoc networks," in 16th ACM International Conference on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems, MSWiM '13, Barcelona, Spain, November 3-8, 2013, B. Landfeldt, M. Aguilar-Igartua, R. Prakash, and C. Li, Eds. ACM, 2013, pp. 419–426. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/2507924.2507962
- [4] E. Aguiar Donato, J. G. Maia Menezes, E. Roberto Mauro Madeira, and L. Aparecido Villas, "Impact of 802.11p channel hopping on vanet communication protocols," *IEEE Latin America Transactions*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 315–320, 2015.
- [5] P. P. Garrido Abenza, P. Piñol Peral, M. P. Malumbres, and O. López-Granado, "Simulation framework for evaluating video delivery services over vehicular networks," in *IEEE 88th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Fall)*, August 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [6] A. Varga and R. Hornig, "An Overview of the OMNeT++ Simulation Environment," in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Simulation Tools and Techniques for Communications, Networks and Systems & Workshops, ser. Simutools '08, 2008, pp. 60:1–60:10.
- [7] C. Sommer, R. German, and F. Dressler, "Bidirectionally Coupled Network and Road Traffic Simulation for Improved IVC Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 2011.
- [8] D. Krajzewicz, J. Erdmann, M. Behrisch, and L. Bieker, "Recent Development and Applications of SUMO - Simulation of Urban MObility," *International Journal On Advances in Systems and Measurements*, vol. 5, no. 3&4, pp. 128–138, 2012.
- [9] M. M. Haklay and P. Weber, "OpenStreetMap: User-Generated Street Maps," *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 12–18, 2008.
- [10] "High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)," ITU-T Recommendation H.265, 2013.
- [11] Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC), "HEVC reference software HM (HEVC Test Model) and Common Test Conditions," Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC), retrieved August 20, 2018 from https://hevc.hhi.fraunhofer.de.